


OPEN SCIENCE. HUMAN AGENCY. COURAGEOUS SOCIETIES.

PANDATA.ORG



The people of PANDA are the ones Kipling had in mind. "If you can keep your head when all about you are losing theirs and blaming it on you". This presentation is from them to a world ruled by people who have failed to keep their heads and done a whole lot of blaming.

The members of PANDA don't merely contribute scientific analysis and perspective. There are many who labour hard on our content generation and the operational logistics of supporting our extensive global network.









bit.ly/PANDA-truthaboutlockdowns



OPEN SCIENCE, HUMAN AGENCY, COURAGEOUS SOCIETIES

bit.ly/PANDA-truthaboutlockdowns

In March, PANDA's presentation "The UGLY Truth about the COVID-19 Lockdowns" went viral. After half a million views in a few days, it was still accelerating, being watched at a rate of 12,000 views per hour. Its contents were mostly based on the actual statements and publications of the WHO and CDC, and we used those to show that the received narrative of the Covid epidemic was false in all its key elements. Though there have been precisely zero criticisms of its factual content, YouTube censored that presentation, citing non-specific violations of its "community guidelines".

THE FALSE NARRATIVE BEHIND THE FFAD

A **deadly novel virus** is sweeping the planet It's spread by **droplets and fomites**

Nobody is immune and there is **no treatment Asymptomatic** people are major drivers of disease **PCR** tests diagnose COVID

So we have to **lock down** and wear **masks** until everyone is **vaccinated**

Variants will haunt us forever

Long COVID and **reinfections** are of concern Those who challenges this narrative are a **danger**



ODEN SCIENCE HUMAN AGENCY COURAGEOUS SOCIETIES

THE REALITY

A virus that presents high risk to few and negligible risk to most hit some regions. It's airborne, spreads by minute particles. Few are susceptible to severe disease. There are several available treatments

Asymptomatics are not major drivers of disease.

Lockdowns and mask mandates, never recommended before, were tried. They haven't


worked and caused great harm.

Variants are not of concern. PCR test doesn't

diagnose. Immunity is long lasting.

The vulnerable were hurt instead of helped.






We are living in a world where our institutions of science and media have been co-opted in the maintenance of this false narrative. That narrative's main purpose is the creation and perpetuation of fear. This fear narrative has put the population into a fight or flight response so severe that flight is the only option. The behavioural science tools of trade have little to do with fighting a viral epidemic, and plenty to do with creating constant reminders of the presence of a deadly threat, even for people for whom the threat is in reality, not at all deadly. This is the antithesis of sound public health messaging, which should inform people accurately about their risk and not trying to drive disproportionate fear.



Dissent, the hallmark of scientific progress, has been ruthlessly stifled. The woke army cancels and lies. Livelihoods of opposing scientists are threatened. They are bullied out of their positions, smeared as "conspiracy theorists", "covid deniers" and "anti-vaxxers".



While PANDA has been at pains to explain that this arises more from a clash of ideologies, or as Thomas Sowell would put it, a conflict of visions, the ubiquitous conspiracy theorist slur enables an amusing joke. What's the difference between a conspiracy theory and a fact? Six months.

From: Fauci, Anthony (NIH/NIAID) [E]
Sent: Sat, 1 Feb 2020 18:43:31 +0000

To: Kristian G. Andersen

Subject: RE: FW: Science: Mining coronavirus genomes for clues to the outbreak's origins

Thanks, Kristian. Talk soon on the call.

From: Kristian G. Andersen
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2(201/A) FM
To: Fauci, Anthony (NIH/NIAID) [E]

Cc: Jeremy Farrar (b) (6) >

Subject: Re: FW: Science: Mining coronavirus genomes for clues to the outbreak's origins

Hi Tony,

Thanks for sharing. Yes, I saw this earlier today and both Eddie and myself are actually quoted in it. It's a great article, but the problem is that our phylogenetic analyses aren't able to answer whether the sequences are unusual at individual residues, except if they are completely off. On a phylogenetic tree the virus looks totally normal and the close clustering with bats suggest that bats serve as the reservoir. The unusual features of the virus make up a really small part of the genome (<0.1%) so one has to look really closely at all the sequences to see that some of the features (potentially) look engineered.

The recent exemplifier of this situation was the Faucigate email release. Among many embarrassments, few of which have been reported by a fawning media, these contained the revelation that Fauci's closest scientific advisors had written to him pointing out that the viral genome exhibited many features consistent with a laboratory origin. Much of the ensuing exchange was redacted, but we can see Fauci hastily convening a conference call, and within days of that one of those scientists, Kristian Andersen, who was a beneficiary of some serious funding from Fauci, was out on social media telling the world exactly the opposite of what those scientists actually believed. As the mails were released, he deleted his incriminating posts, claiming they'd been deleted by Twitter, and upon being challenged with screen shots of them, deleted his entire Twitter account. Talk about guilty.



https://www.cbsnews.com/news/covid-lab-theory-robert-redfield-no-evidence/

For more than a year, legacy had media curated the lie that lab origin was a conspiracy theory, until, just before the release of the Fauci emails, first Robert Redfield, Fauci's close colleague and former head of the US FDA, broke ranks, followed by Fauci himself. Fauci appeared to have perjured himself in congressional testimony. Yet even after all of this, the media narrative was "move on, nothing to see here".





The virus can also spread in poorly ventilated and/or crowded indoor settings, where people tend to spend longer periods of time. This is because aerosols remain suspended in the air or travel farther than 1 metre (long-range).



The smallest very fine droplets, and aerosol particles formed when these fine droplets rapidly dry, are small enough that they can remain suspended in the air for minutes to hours.



DEN SCIENCE HUMAN ACENCY COURAGEOUS SOCIETIES

This was not the only example of a fact described as a conspiracy theory. In June of last year, when PANDA noted that neither lockdown nor mask mandate efficacy were evident in the data, and that studies supporting them were riddled with fundamental flaws, we proposed that dissident scientists arguing for airborne aerosols as the primary driver of spread were probably right, and that the "droplet and fomite" dogma of the WHO couldn't be. That too was described as a conspiracy theory, yet, in recent weeks, without any announcement or explanation, both the WHO and the CDC quietly added aerosols to the top of their lists of transmission mechanisms.

https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/coronavirus-disease-covid-19-how-is-it-transmitted


https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/science/science-briefs/sars-cov-2-transmission.html

Screengrabs from WHO and CDC arguing airborne aerosols:

https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/coronavirus-disease-covid-19-how-is-it-transmitted

NY Time article "perhaps the biggest news of the pandemic passed with no news conference, no big declaration" (please check quote)

https://www.nytimes.com/2020/07/04/health/239-experts-with-one-big-claim-the-coronavirus-is-airborne.html



Having consistently focused on fomite and droplet transmission, in the absence of any evidence that it was at all important, \dots





Home / Publications / Overview /

TRANSMISSION "CONSPIRACY THEORIES" PROVEN TRUE

Roadmap to improve and ensure good indoor ventilation in the context of COVID-19

PROVEN TRUE Roadmap to improve and ensure good indoor ventilation in the context of COVID-19

1 March 2021 | COVID-19: Infection prevention and control / WASH



ODEN SCIENCE HUMAN AGENCY COURAGEOUS SOCIETIES

... the WHO spoke for the first time about the importance of spatial ventilation, a drum PANDA had been beating as the only non-pharmaceutical intervention worth serious attention, for more than a year.

NEWS

TRANSMISSION "CONSPIRACY THEORIES" PROVEN TRUE

'A welcome step': WHO acknowledges aerosol spread of COVID-19

But experts say the Australian Government's infection control group must now update its advice to ensure new strategies to reduce transmission risks.





ODEN SCIENCE HUMAN ACENCY COURACEOUS SOCIETIES

They did this without even a nod in the direction of the obvious implication—that the whole clown show of social distancing, cloth masks, stickers and plexiglass, was pointless. What was perhaps the biggest news event of the entire pandemic was, again, almost completely ignored by the media. "Nothing to see here".

PANDA covered another foundational lie, asymptomatic transmission as a driver of the disease epidemic, in the "UGLY Truth" presentation. Taking this together with airborne aerosol spread, the case for lockdowns, social distancing and mask mandates is non-existent, and the lack of evidence that we found for their effectiveness is wholly unsurprising. There should be no media house on the planet doing anything other than shouting "scandal!" at the top of their voices, yet the silence is deafening.



This is only a glimpse of these problems. Unpacking them all in their full depth and breadth would take weeks, and we only have 30 minutes. Having watched "The UGLY Truth" presentation and listened to this, the question that is surely on your minds is "Why?"

This question has caused many who see these things to go quite mad, conjuring up crazy embellishments and imagining smoke-filled rooms of Illuminati-like plotters, intent on global depopulation or some such.



These were far from the only major stories ignored by legacy media and suppressed by social media platforms.

A **deadly novel** virus

Transmission by **droplets and fomites**

Asymptomatic transmission

NTC Nobody is immune

Lack of **treatment**

Scariant variants

Long COVID

Reinfection after recovery



OPEN SCIENCE, HUMAN AGENCY, COURAGEOUS SOCIETIES

From the start, PANDA had argued that there was evidence of deliberate efforts to drive fear using misrepresentations and distortions of risk.



temperature checks
marks on the floor
glass separators
masks on every face
2 m distancing
curfews
push for testing
oversanitization
fumigation
videos of people dropping to the floor



ODEN SCIENCE HUMAN AGENCY COURAGEOUS SOCIETIES

The maintenance of conspicuous interventions, long after their logical basis had been demolished, seemed to us a form of psychological warfare.



The maintenance of conspicuous interventions, long after their logical basis had been demolished, seemed to us a form of psychological warfare.

 $https:/\!\!/drive.google.com/file/d/1jajaTqM2sTmAyIVVWZcx3ke3wiPhHsXm/view?usp=sharing$





Psychologists and behavioural scientists on the PANDA team could detect and explain to us the careful manipulation embedded in much of the communications released by governments.




advertisements
articles to build the false narrative
pumping the fear on TV, radio, social media
tallying the cases and the deaths
celebrities supporting the narrative
expert interviews



ODEN SCIENCE HUMAN AGENCY COURAGEOUS SOCIETIES

Of course you had to do more than turn off your television. In addition to advertisements, the public were confronted with an onslaught of public health messaging.




Scary headlines



...tallies of deaths, or when they weren't sufficient, cases,

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qx0Y-wzSJdo



... celebrity endorsement...

Video

https://www.voutube.com/watch?v=5P4-tdSeUmq

https://twitter.com/AlvssaMary03/status/1405304375128563718?s=20

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KxDWmuKUGgw



... and experts pretending to talk about The Science, but really repeating a narrative like so many bots.

https://twitter.com/10DowningStreet/status/1243144489096679424?s=20 https://www.politico.eu/article/bill-gates-who-most-powerful-doctor/



I. PERSUASION

Use media to increase sense of personal threat "The perceived level of personal threat needs to be increased among those who are complacent, using hard-hitting emotional messaging."

II. INCENTIVISATION

Social approval for desired behaviours (reward)

III. COERCION

Compulsion: enacting legislation to compel required behaviours quickly

Social disapproval for failure to comply: promotion of strong collective identity



ODEN SCIENCE HUMAN ACENCY COURACEOUS SOCIETIES

Of course, our observation that this appeared to be deliberate risk distortion earned us another "conspiracy theorist" label, even though government websites told you they were working only in the direction of increased perception of threat.

"A substantial number of people still do not feel sufficiently personally threatened; it could be that they are reassured by the low death rate in their demographic group"

social approval=> conformity

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/882722/25-options-for-increasing-adherence-to-social-distancing-measures-22032020.pdf#



They worked with persuasion. Here the young, who were not really at risk to severe disease, being told the asympomatic transmission as driver lie.

https://twitter.com/NHSEnglandLDN/status/1249393343274106880?s=20



A message of social approval through new behaviour

https://twitter.com/10DowningStreet/status/1243144489096679424?s=20



Compulsion through fines, even when it had been known for quite some time that outdoor transmission was vanishingly rare.

https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-england-leeds-55845582



And the one that we all find creepiest of all. Look at the imagery here. The braces on the teeth, so aiming at youth, the useless mask and the crazed lie that taking it off would turn them into murderers. And of course the subliminal message that it was, for the first time in history, your fault if someone else got sick.



A classified paper, which presented the danger posed by the virus as dramatically as possible, and which quickly spread through the media.

Ignored the Robert Koch Institute estimate of fatality rate, itself already a 4x overestimate, and assumed double in order to get to a statement that a million people would die without intervention.



ODEN SCIENCE HUMAN AGENCY COUDAGEOUS SOCIETIES

Of course, our observation that this appeared to be deliberate risk distortion earned us another "conspiracy theorist" label.

But evidence that we were correct emerged early on, in Germany, when the mainstream "Welt" newspaper published a major expose of a classified document, dubbed the "Panic Papers". The investigations included details of members agreeing to divert their discussions about how to communicate completely trumped up predictions for the purpose of maximising fear to their private email addresses. They knew they were doing something wrong and did not want to be found out.



Members of the Scientific Pandemic Influenza Group on Behaviour (SPI-B) expressed regret about the tactics in a new book about the role of psychology in the Government's Covid-19 response.

SPI-B warned in March last year that ministers needed to increase "the perceived level of personal threat" from Covid-19 because "a substantial number of people still do not feel sufficiently personally threatened". Gavin Morgan, a psychologist on the team, said: "Clearly, using fear as a means of control is not ethical. Using fear smacks of totalitarianism. It's not an ethical stance for any modern government. By nature I am an optimistic person, but all this has given me a more pessimistic view of people." "Masks do more harm than good & the decision to mandate them for healthy people was introduced as a psychological control method."

"Children are unlikely to suffer from the epidemic": Wrong. Children are easily infected, even with exit restrictions, e.g. from the neighbours' children. When they infect their parents and one of them dies in agony at home and they feel they are to blame for forgetting to wash their hands after playing, for example, it is the most terrible thing a child will ever experience.'



ODEN SCIENCE HUMAN AGENCY COUDAGEOUS SOCIETIES

Further revelations emerged elsewhere. A member of the UK SAGE's behavioural science unit revealed how the unit had told ministers that "a substantial number of people still do not feel sufficiently personally threatened". Gavin Morgan, a psychologist on the team, said: "Clearly, using fear as a means of control is not ethical. Using fear smacks of totalitarianism. It's not an ethical stance for any modern government. By nature I am an optimistic person, but all this has given me a more pessimistic view of people." It also emerged that the unit knew that masks did more harm than good & that the decision to mandate them for healthy people was introduced as a psychological control method."

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/882722/25-options-for-increasing-adherence-to-social-distancing-measures-22032020.pdf#

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/933228/S0829_SPI-B_-_Increasing_adherence_to_Covid-19_prevent_ative_behaviours_among_voung_people.pdf#



In Canada, regarding Ottawa's creepily named "Nudge Unit" the Toronto Star said that "A massive social science experiment that has taken place over the planet has given government important clues on how to modify citizen's behaviour for other big global issues—such as climate change".



ISAG members were instructed to "review and internalise" instructions to "look for ways to increase insecurity, anxiety, and uncertainty", and to "go after people and not institutions" because "people hurt faster than institutions."

Members, many of whom are regular guests in Irish media, were told that they could count on "imagination" to "dream up many more consequences" than they themselves as "the threat is usually more terrifying than the thing itself."

because they convey a message of 'solidarity'. In other words, there is a behavioural science 'reason' for wearing masks, to increase a sense of collectivism. This is a feeling favoured by the psychologists that is entirely unrelated to the scientific evidence regarding transmission. Essentially, they want us to feel like we are 'in it together'.


LAURA DODSWORTH




ODEN SCIENCE HUMAN AGENCY COURAGEOUS SOCIETIES

An internal correspondence leak in Ireland revealed that "ISAG members were instructed to "review and internalise" instructions to "look for ways to increase insecurity, anxiety, and uncertainty", and to "go after people and not institutions" because "people hurt faster than institutions."

The material describing this deliberate attempt to instil fear using behavioural science, not stopping short of lying to the public, could fill a book, and indeed it has. Even though Laura Dodsworth's "A State of Fear" has topped bestseller lists, yet she has battled to secure a review in legacy media columns.



Use pernicious methods like these in a progressive fashion, and you can promote boiling frog syndrome.



Gradual moving of goalposts



resigned to isolation
accepted losing their jobs and their business
accepted infringements on bodily autonomy
(testing, temperature checking, masks)



ODEN SCIENCE HUMAN AGENCY COLIDAGEOUS SOCIETIE

... manipulates the population into accepting ever-increasing encroachment, until independent, critical thought is extinguished.



It is easy after that to create an entirely polarised world. Why the polarised world?



That is what you need to divide and conquer. Lockdowners, and lockdown skeptics.



Covid Heros and Covidiots.


https://www.itnonline.com/content/high-levels-distress-reported-during-height-covid-19



On of the most suppressed messages in this whole scam was attempted before.



If you have never read this Spiegel report about the 2009 H1N1 hoax perpetrated by vaccine manufacturers in collusion with the WHO, then do so. I'd also recommend listening to my interview of physician and politician, Dr Wolfgang Wodarg, who played the central role in exposing that fraud. A very sinister aspect of this episode was that they came for him in advance of the Covid policy reaction, for example, with changes to his Wikipedia entry that painted him as some kind of asylum inmate.




How is the false narrative of Covid perpetuated with such ruthless efficiency? The chief enabler of all of this is centralisation of power. A handful of media companies control almost all outlets, and agencies such as ANP and Project Syndicate can cause any story, true or false, to be propagated around the world across all mediums, and in a flash.

https://forbiddenknowledgetv.net/monopoly-an-overview-of-the-great-reset-follow -the-money/






Here's an example of a false one, warning you of people like me. https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Nw1yCZI__LgCg787KhBdfq_dICYAIPU0/view?usp=s haring




Major organisations of a particular ideological bent secure influence over assets they do not own by conditional donations and advertising. Notably, both the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and George Soros's one, The Open Society Foundation, fund both ANP and Project Syndicate, and Reuters is owned by the like-minded Thompson family in Canada.

The story gets worse, as the self-styled "fact-checking" organisations, which in the main act to attack dissenting voices, are funded by the very same people. It is comical, macabre, to read the sanctimonious columns of those fact-checkers after the passing of a few months. We see them, like the Inquisition insisting that the world was flat, proclaiming zoonotic origin as "settled science", because dozens of scientists said so in a journal, and that was that. Any scientists who said otherwise were guilty of "disinformation", and they could point you to a Wikipedia page their friends had written that explained in gory detail what terrible people those disinformers were.

https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/factcheck/2020/08/23/fact-check-plandemic -ii-alleges-false-cdc-nih-conspiracy-theory/3408658001/



The major social media platforms have been corralled into a coalition called C2PA, the "Coalition for Content Provenance and Authenticity", formed by Microsoft. Twitter joined last month, and since then we have noticed unprecedented censorship on that platform. Simply statements of uncontested fact, such as announcing the release of more Fauci emails, or uncommented retweeting of peer-reviewed journal articles are earning people suspensions. There is not even a pretence at policing misinformation.



A handful of organisations headed by some very powerful people have also infiltrated the vast majority of our public health institutions, with funding that is modest to them but very significant for the scientists they seek to compromise. The principal ones are the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, their related entities, the WHO and GAVI (the vaccine alliance) the CDC and the big pharma companies. It is simply not possible for any of the scientists in organisations funded by this crew to hold a dissenting opinion, let alone to utter one. At pain of career and financial ruin, most rationalize their way into supporting the false narrative. The few who don't join PANDA as cryptic members. Media's inclination to see nothing is inexcusable. PANDA connections have made this available in easy-to access visualizations with drill-downs to all the detail.

How far does this go? Well, one of the many challenges that PANDA had to face this past year involved a series of professional conduct complaints filed at the Actuarial Society of South Africa against me and another actuary and PANDA member, Peter Castleden. The complaints carried no water, and were ultimately withdrawn after a process that lasted a year and served mainly, and probably deliberately, to consume PANDA's resources. The key complainant emanated from the CEO of an actuarial consulting firm, Percept, who had been centrally involved in the massively overwrought Covid model of the Society. That model, way wrong even on the day it was published, had quite curiously aligned with the similarly overwrought models of the other members of the coronavirus modelling consortium. But buried in the filings of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation for 2020 lay a surprising disclosure.

The foundation had bestowed a grant upon Percept to the tune of \$1.5m. What a coincidence! What was this foundation doing granting money to a small for-profit actuarial firm in South Africa, and to no other ones?

The rest of that filing blasts a broad swathe through the public health institutions of nearly every country, stitching up every notable university, NGO and agency that has ever been given significant media attention on Covid. Government and the public could be told anything about Covid, as long as what it was told conformed with what Bill Gates wanted them to hear. In studying the reach of that arm, we have found ourselves unable to identify a single prominent scientist who was not tainted by this brush.

So the Covid narrative is false and by corralling media and public health institutions, the creators of that false narrative entrenched it most firmly, at great and ongoing cost to the country and its citizens. And this story has been repeated in country after country, all around the world.

https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/aukema/viz/GatesFoundationGrants/Overvie w




I have provided you with only a glimpse of these problems. Unpacking them all in their full depth and breadth would take weeks, and we only have 30 minutes. Having watched "The UGLY Truth" presentation and listened to this, the question that is surely on your minds is "Why?"

This question has caused many who see these things to go quite mad, conjuring up crazy embellishments and imagining smoke-filled rooms of Illuminati-like plotters, intent on global depopulation or some such.




We believe that the "why" question is best approached through the lens of ideology. In our view of the world, the battle lines have been drawn. The enemy we face is not a new one. What Gates, Soros and any number of super national organisations—the WHO, the World Economic Forum, the Atlantic Council, the Bilderberg Club, Common Purpose and many others represent, is what the great economist I mentioned earlier, Thomas Sowell, described as the "unconstrained vision". Like Stalin, they conceive of human society as a system that they, by applying their technological vision, can control and manage towards a better outcome.

https://www.businessinsider.com/this-chart-shows-the-bilderberg-groups-connection-to-everything-in-the-world-2012-6?IR=T




Visit the WEF's website and what you will encounter is a string of non-problems they have largely invented or fabricated, and to which they admit only centralist solutions. Such men would bring forth a shiny new world order, a great reset, a fourth industrial revolution, a build back better. They routinely express a close affinity for the Chinese Communist Party's methods, its surveillance of citizens, its social credit systems and its authoritarian ways. This would be enabled by control of the human population--a population tagged, app'd, even chipped and biologically monitored, affording them the capacity to nudge, prohibit or compel behaviour, even speech.



"You will own nothing and be happy", says Klaus Schwab, just before advising that we'd better get used to eating insects.




This kind of technocratic vision has many antecedents. I mentioned Stalin, but you could just as easily consider the Anglo-American banking cabal who funded Hitler and Mussolini. Indeed, you can pick almost any time in human history and find an extractive, oppressive elite obliterating all opposition, and with it, all potential for knowledge growth and progress of any sort at all. For the only source of knowledge growth, the constant cycling of conjecture and criticism in the search for better explanations, requires freedom of expression and preservation of local agency. Almost everyone in history died in a society that looked, from a technological perspective, very much like the one into which they had been born. Stasis is the rule, not the exception, precisely because local agency has seldom been achieved.




For tiny fractions of human history there have been periods where the oppressive power and ossifying tendencies of hierarchies have been moderated. Democracy moderated one dollar one vote, with one man one vote, with generally salubrious outcomes. Democracy's current iteration took half a millennium to emerge from the spark ignited by Magna Carta. But when it did emerge it was extremely fecund. Decentralisation and diffusion of power unleashed the infinite potential of evolutionary knowledge growth. Poverty plummeted and lifespans increased.

Today's technocrats advance an agenda that inherits from its forebears a pronounced Malthusian strain that would terminate this fecundity, restoring stasis and drudgery. According to them, man is a mere passenger on Spaceship Earth. Magna Carta was a mistake and should be replaced by a Terra Carta, asserting the rights of the planet over man. Economic growth is a bad thing, they say, and we must get used to getting by with what we have, or perhaps with less. The only way they see to achieving this is to put the decision as to who gets what into the hands of a global elite; an elite which will do us all a favour, by extinguishing the Enlightenment in favour of a new Dark Ages.



And so what we have is an ideological clash—not a new one, but one that is more salient than it has ever been before. On the one hand, elites of the unconstrained vision, to whom it is in their capacity to systematise and regulate the affairs of men, and on the other, the wiser, more humble folk, who understand that society is complex, and improvements to it best made locally, by the scientific and evolutionary process of trial and error.



This contrast was wonderfully illustrated by one of the strangest documents I have ever read. In fact, when it was first brought to my attention, I suspected I was the victim of a prank. Researchers at the illustrious MIT infiltrated several lockdown skeptic organisations, one of which appears to have been PANDA.

https://www.insider.com/ways-to-make-your-face-mask-more-effective-2021-2 Source: https://t.co/isoAG8G2VT?amp=1

MIT RESEARCHERS ON "UNORTHODOX" SCIENCE

"The groups we studied believe that **science is a process, and not an institution**."

"anti-maskers value unmediated access to information and privilege personal research ...over "expert interpretations", ..., individual initiative and ingenuity, trusting scientific analysis only insofar as they can replicate it themselves by accessing and manipulating the data firsthand."


"They are highly **reflexive** about the inherently biased nature of any analysis, and resent what they view as the arrogant self-righteousness of scientific elites."
"We argue that anti-maskers' deep story draws from similar wells of resentment, but adds a particular emphasis on the **usurpation** of scientific knowledge by a paternalistic, condescending elite that expects **intellectual subservience** rather than **critical thinking** from the public."



ODEN SCIENCE HUMAN ACENCY COURACEOUS SOCIETIES

"Most fundamentally," they said, "the groups we studied believe that science is a process, and not an institution." They correctly saw that we "value unmediated access to information and privilege personal research and direct reading over "expert" interpretations." "Its members value individual initiative and ingenuity, trusting scientific analysis only insofar as they can replicate it themselves by accessing and manipulating the data firsthand." They were surprised to find that "These individuals as a whole are extremely willing to help others who have trouble interpreting graphs with multiple forms of clarification: by helping people find the original sources so that they can replicate the analysis themselves, by referencing other reputable studies..." "Data literacy is a quintessential criterion for membership within the community they have created."

https://www.insider.com/ways-to-make-your-face-mask-more-effective-2021-2 Source: https://t.co/jsoAG8G2VT?amp=1



But don't for a minute believe that any of this was meant to be complimentary. For in their conclusion they lament "the skeptical impulse that the 'science simply isn't settled,' prompting people to simply 'think for themselves" to horrifying ends."

https://www.insider.com/ways-to-make-your-face-mask-more-effective-2021-2 Source: https://t.co/isoAG8G2VT?amp=1



THE SCIENCETM

An institution "Follow The Science" "Settled Science" By acclamation, no debate admitted



SCIENCE

A process of conjecture and criticism Replicability Debate and dissent the only means of progress



ODEN SCIENCE HUMAN AGENCY COURAGEOUS SOCIETIES


This vignette paints a picture of two startlingly different perceptions of science.

On the one hand we have appeals to "The ScienceTM""

On the other we have our understanding of science as a process ...

If you recognise in the former conception, "The ScienceTM", traits prevalent in the philosophies of post-modernism, critical theory and wokeism, then you have the measure of it. And the subsidiary concepts of these self-refuting doctrines, such as cancel culture and safety culture are also everywhere in evidence.

It is important to understand that the corruption of science in this fashion is a decades-long process. In particular, the health sciences have been a chief victim. And it has been encroached upon from the other side of the political spectrum too. In the Reagan era, two shameful decisions were made. The one was to void liability for vaccine manufacturers, thus distorting the incentives they faced and paving the way for them falsely to present their products as the be all and end all of public health. The other was to permit those manufacturers, rather than regulators, to conduct the trials of their products. Over time, the effective oversight of those trials diminished, to the point of sheer perversion. It is now considered completely unexceptional for the regulators to be given grants and cushy positions by the owners of those manufacturers as they dispense their very modest oversight roles.



Their insouciance has become quite brazen. When an editor of the BMJ raised most serious concerns about anomalies in the Pfizer Biontech trial, his questions were neither taken up by controlled legacy media, nor responded to by the manufacturer. An unprecedented roll-out has taken place without even the slightest of efforts to address the issues.



Bill Gates says the world will need 7 A JAB IN billion vaccine doses to end COVID-19 pandemic


BY ALAN BOYLE on April 30, 2020 at 12:00 pm



Everywhere the cry has gone out for a jab in every arm, even though there is no logic in this. Deaths could be reduced to minor levels simply by vaccinating the 5 or 10% of the population that is both vulnerable and not part of the substantial infected and recovered group. Natural immunity is falsely presented as either feeble or short-lived, and the negligible risk posed by Covid to the young, and the risk posed by vaccines to them are downplayed.




Once again, the corruption of the WHO by corporate interests has been on full display, most scandalously in their attempt to revise the definition of herd immunity to exclude the contribution of those with pre-existing or natural immunity. This had the effect of justifying the establishment of goals of near-universal vaccination, a situation that satisfies not only the bottom line of Big Pharma, but the surveillance and control ambitions of the technocracy and the desire of governments for a face-saving step-down strategy from an overwrought and massively destructive policy response.




One of the most sinister analytical results ever uncovered at PANDA was this. We analysed the word frequency ... vaccination of children

PANDA research: Whenever they talk about vaccines, they talk about children, and they seldom mention treatment or the vulnerable



"Children and young people do not benefit from the COVID-19 vaccine as their risk from the disease is almost nil. Mass vaccination and vaccine trials on healthy children are therefore unethical."

https://www.pandata.org/children-and-voung-people-declaration/



Once again, we see the behavioural scientists at work in government and industry advertising. This trajectory suits not only the pockets of manufacturers, but the ambitions of technocrats who aspire to a surveillance state built upon the platform of immunity passports, and governments in search of a face-saving de-escalation of what they now are aware were overwrought and massively destructive responses.



Intervention/treatment 6

Other: Control message

Other: Baseline message

Other: Personal freedom message
Other: Economic freedom message

Other: Self-interest message

Other: Community interest message

Other: Economic benefit message

Other: Guilt message

Other: Embarrassment message

Other: Anger message

Other: Trust in science message


Other: Not bravery message




OPEN SCIENCE HUMAN AGENCY COURAGEOUS SOCIETIES


But it represents a gross misprioritization of scarce healthcare resources and the unethical imposition of short- and long-term risks upon young people, for whom the vaccines present no to negligible benefit.

https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04460703#



We also see "fact-checking" and censorship of incontrovertible fact






So we face "jab-in-every-arm". The nonsensical message, but convenient ...

And the cost is not limited to the cost of a vaccine or the harms from an experimental, new technology vaccine injected into someone who does not need it.




https://ijirms.in/index.php/ijirms/article/view/1100

Treatment phases: anti-viral, anti-inflammatory, anti-coagulant




It also comes at the cost of governments needing to maintain the Fear Narrative

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TtOu7ix3snQ



Lockdowns aren't only wrong because we didn't do a cost-benefit analysis. They're wrong in principle. Coercion has no place in public health.

Fear is not a virtue.



TO SUPPORT PANDA'S WORK



AMPLIFY OUR VOICE BY SHARING OUR WORK DONATE
TO
EXPAND
OUR
REACH



